



**CITY OF CORONA  
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**

---

May 16, 2018

**SUBJECT: Request for Proposal, RFP 18-046CA**

**Addendum No. 2  
Environmental, Right-of-Way & Engineering Design Services for  
McKinley Street Grade Separation City of Corona Project No. 2012-12**

This Addendum No. 2 to the subject Request for Proposal (RFP) provides responses to questions received. Please note the proposal due date and time remains unchanged.

All provisions of and attachments to this Addendum No. 2 are hereby incorporated by reference into the subject RFP. Proposers shall account for all provisions pursuant to this Addendum No. 2 in submitting their proposals. Each consultant shall acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in their proposal.

**Questions and Answers:**

Question: Should the Interactive 3D Model/Visualizing solution include the following capabilities to meet the RFP requirements?

*Technologies and services that provide an interactive three-dimensional virtual model of the project that shows the proposed design(s) superimposed on surrounding existing conditions. The 3-D project interactive model is required to provide the following capabilities:*

- *Interactively view, analyze, and present the project with complete freedom of movement within the 3D model.*
- *Present the project from any point-of-view.*
- *Host the 3-D project model using a cloud collaboration service that enables model viewing and navigation using standard internet browsers such as Microsoft Edge and Google Chrome.*
- *Show multiple view states representing different conditions such as existing conditions, proposed designs, optional features, and maintenance of traffic during stages of construction.*
- *Animate simulations along any path to show existing and proposed conditions, with all relevant details such as buildings, landscape, signage, vehicles, signals, pavement, sidewalks, striping, and structures.*
- *Export an unlimited number of still images from any viewpoint*
- *Export an unlimited number of videos along any animation path, including 360 degree and virtual reality videos.*

- *Engage in web-based meetings with shared model viewing.*
- *Create and host a Virtual Tour of the project that can be accessed from any web-connected computer, smart phone, or tablet device. The virtual tour is accessible using a simple web address URL, which can be made available to team members, stakeholders, and members of the public.*

Answer: Yes.

Question: Does the City anticipate using 3-D visualization to present and solicit feedback on multiple design alternatives that will be considered or is the plan to only model the selected design alternative.

Answer: We anticipate using the 3-D technology to only model the selected design alternative.

Question: RFP page 37 says the proposal shall be “bound with one staple.” Can we bind it with a screw and a post?

Answer: Yes

Question: RFP page 9 2.e says “last three (5) years.” Should it be three or five years.

Answer: Five years

Question: Under Section III, Evaluation Criteria, the fourth criterion worth 10 points is Capability of Developing Innovative or Advanced Techniques. In the subsequent section, page 4 of 6, paragraph 4: Staffing and Project Organization is worth 10 points. All the other four criterion match in scope from the table on page 1 of 6 and available points, except these. Are the 10 points granted for Innovative or Advanced Techniques or Staffing and Project Organization.

Answer: This will be scored as one item. This criterion is asking Consultant to provide staffing capabilities, discuss their scheduling strategy and elaborate on any innovative, proactive measures their team will adopt to address the project timelines.

Question: The City’s format for this RFP, which requires Alternative Concepts (AC) to be included in the Proposal, makes it difficult to meet the 50-page double-spaced criteria (effectively 25 pages). The RFP requires that each AC address the 14 specific points listed on page 3 of Section III, in addition to a one- to two-page general description of the AC. We are finding that to properly respond to the RFP requirements, each AC requires between 4 and 8 double-spaced pages. Can the 50-page restriction be increased to 70 pages? Or alternatively, can the double-space restriction be lifted only for the AC section of the proposal?

Answer: The double-space requirement will not be required for just the AC section.

Question: Can Appendices be bound separately with methods other than stapling (e.g. three-ring binder)?

Answer: Yes.

Question: Addendum 1 requires inclusion of a project schedule in the Staffing and Project Organization section. Can this schedule be furnished on a 11x17 page? Is a schedule no longer required in the Project Understanding & Approach per Section V, Page 2 of 6, Item 3(a)(3).

Answer: Yes, the schedule may be furnished on an 11" x 17" page. Consultant may refer to the appropriate appendix that has the schedule.

*Linda Bazmi*

---

Linda Bazmi  
Senior Engineer  
City of Corona  
Public Works Department  
400 South Vicentia Avenue  
Corona, CA 92882  
951-739-4960